

STAKEHOLDER FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT

STUDENT'S FEEDBACK:

A questionnaire was designed to seek feedback from the students to strengthen the quality of teaching- learning environment to provide best possible facilities and modern infrastructure.

Analyses of the feedbacks provided by 754 students are summed up according to the following parameters:

SI. No.	Parameters	Score in percentage					
	Coverage of	85 to 100%	70 to 84%	55 to 69%	30 to 54%	Below 30	
1.	syllabus	13.6	31	35.1	16.5	4.8	
2.	Teachers' preparedness for class	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	
۷.		20	47.2	29.3	2.3	1.2	
3.	Teachers' communication	Always effective	Sometimes effective	Just satisfactory	Generally ineffective	Very poor	
3.		38.6	32.2	24.1	15	1.05	
	Teachers'	excellent	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	
4.	approach to teaching	21.2	29.3	35.7	12.8	1.00	
_	Internal evaluation	Always fair	Unusually fair	Sometime unfair	Usually unfair	Unfair	
5.		33	46.1	14.2	4.5	2.2	
	Discussion of	Every time	Usually	Seasonally	Rarely	Never	
6.	performance in assignment	15.1	22.6	21.4	16.5	24	
	Institution takes	Regularly	Often	Sometime	Rarely	Never	
7.	active interest in promoting internship	20.9	26.2	29	16.2	8.6	
8.	Mentoring process	Significantly	Very Well	Moderately	Marginally	Not at all	
		12.5	34.2	34.5	11.3	7.5	





	D.A. III	Strongly	Agree	Mautellu		Strongly
9.	Multiple opportunities to	Agree	Agree	Neutrally	Disagree	disagree
	learn and grow	14.5	37.4	35.9	3.2	2.9
10.	Information about courses	Every time	Usually	Occasionally	Rarely	Never
	outcome & programme outcome.	27.5	27.5	20.6	11	13.4
11.	Necessary follow-up with an assigned task.	17.1	27.2	22.6	16.3	16.8
12.	Illustration of concepts through examples and applications.	42.9	31.3	18.6	5.1	2.1
	Identification of strength and	Fully	Reasonably	Partially	Strongly	Unable to
weaknesses and providing right level of challenges.	26.7	22	22.9	18.4	9.1	
	Institute makes effort to engage students in	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutrally	Disagree	Strongly disagree
14.		20	37.7	33.3	6.2	2.8
	Use of student's centric methods	To a great extent	Moderate	Some what	Very little	Not at all
15.	for enhancing learning experience.	19.4	38.3	20.9	14.4	7
16.	Encouragement to participate in extracurricular activities.	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutrally	Disagree	Strongly disagree
		27.9	37.1	27.8	7	3.2



	K.C. Das Co.	
N STILL	Estd-1983	Terca C
P P	Date:	ollec'e
11	d -	\$//

				181	\\\P\	
	Efforts are made by the institution/teac	To a great extent	Moderate	Some what	Very little	Not at all
17.	her to enucleate life skills	25.5	32.2	23.8	15.5	3
18.	Use of ICT tools	Above 90%	70 to 89 %	50 to 69%	30 to 49%	Below 29%
		4.7	11.9	22	16.5	44.9
19.	Overall quality of teaching	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutrally	Disagree	Strongly disagree
15.	learning.	21.2	42	29.3	4.5	3
20.	Cooperate office staff	19	42.6	27	5	6.2
21.	Cooperate library staff	22.9	44.3	26	2.2	4.5
22.	Availability of entire educational resources in the library	12.2	29	46.7	7	5.1
23.	Internet facility in the library as satisfactory	9	26.1	44.99	10.2	9.8
24.	Availability of prescribed Books/ Reading materials in the library	12.2	29	46.7	7	5.1
25.	Condition of equipments in the lab(s)	10	33.9	46	6.6	3.4
26.	Results are displayed by the college.	20	44.99	26.2	6.5	2.4
27.	Separate common rooms for girls and boys.	71.6	22.6	3.1	1.1	0.8
28.	Toilets//washro	31	41.7	8.4	14.2	4.7

R. C. Das Commerce Colage

1	K.C. Das Conns	:
1 图 李明	Estd-1983 Date:	Ca Colla
16		

	Alo Sall					
	and properly maintained.			July Gam		
29.	Maintenance of class room.	31.6	50.4	9.3	6.5	2.2
30.	The campus is green & eco-friendly.	46.1	44.6	8.2	0.7	0.4
31.	Availability of drinking water	14.2	41.4	32.2	9.3	2.9
32.	Infrastructure is disabled friendly	30.1	42.6	20.9	4.6	1.8
33.	Adequate power supply in the college.	34.8	54.5	8.7	1.2	0.8
34.	Grievances are redressed	14.2	41.4	32.2	93	2.9
35.	Functioning of the placement cell is satisfactory.	13.6	30.1	38.3	10.8	7.2

Principal
K. C. Das Commerce College